IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 13824 of 2004

Between:

B.Sreeramamurthy S/o Sanyasayya
Eluru, West Godavari District

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Chairman, Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings
Hyderabad
2 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Secretary,

Environment, Forest, Science and Technology (For.IV)

Department, Secretariat Building., Hyderabad

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Certiorari or any appropriate writ or order, to call for records relating to the impugned Tribunal Enquiry CaseNo.88/2002 on the file of the Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings, Hyderabad and quash the same and further direction declaring the action of the 1st respondent in Framing charges dt. 6/01/2003 (Tribunal Enquiry Case No.88/2002) is arbitrary, illegal and contrary to law.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.V.S.N.SARMA
Counsel for the Respondents:G.P.FOR SERVICES.

The Court made the following **ORDER**:

The petitioner is working as a Forest Range Officer in the second respondent department. Certain charges were framed against him on 06-01-2003 alleging that he is in possession of assets worth Rs.10,46,091/-.

The petitioner approached this Court through this Writ Petition seeking to declare that the charges framed against him and the enquiry initiated against him through the proceedings dated 06-01-2003 as arbitrary, illegal and contrary to the provisions of law. The petitioner filed W.P.M.P.No.20262 of 2004 mentioning that he made a representation to the second respondent on 13-08-2004 giving all the details regarding the sources of income and the value of the assets etc., and it is pending consideration with the second respondent.

Since the representation filed by the petitioner is pending with the Government, it would be appropriate to direct the Government to pass appropriate orders on the representation of the petitioner.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of directing the second respondent to pass appropriate orders on the representation of the petitioner dated 13-08-2004 within two months from the date of a copy of receipt of this order. No order as to costs.

Dr. G. Yethirajulu, J.

Dt:1st October, 2004.

RNS

To

1 The Chairman, Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings
Hyderabad

2 The Secretary to Government,

Environment, Forest, Science and Technology (For.IV)

Department, Secretariat Building., Hyderabad.

3. Two CCs to G.P.for Services, High Court of A.P.,

Hyderabad.(OUT),

4. Two CD copies.